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SEASONAL DECLINES IN OFFSPRING FITNESS AND SELECTION FOR EARLY REPRODUCTION IN NYMPH-OVERWINTERING GRASSHOPPERS
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Abstract.—In this study, I examine the effects of natural and experimentally induced variation in life cycle timing on offspring fitness in Arphia sulphurea and Chorthippus viridifasciata, to understand the selective pressures shaping phenology in these two species of nymph-overwintering grasshoppers. Because these species lack embryonic diapause, hatching varies over a two month range under natural conditions. I used a cold treatment to delay hatching of some egg pods and extend the natural range of hatching dates. Due to the shorter time for growth and poorer growing conditions late in the fall, late-hatching nymphs of both species grew to a smaller size before winter and suffered higher overwinter mortality, compared to early nymphs. In addition, late nymphs that did survive the winter became reproductive later in the following year’s breeding season. Size-dependent mortality of offspring during the winter is a strong selective pressure favoring early reproduction in these species. Female adult life history traits appear responsive to the seasonal declines in offspring fitness, in that late-maturing females began reproducing sooner after adult maturation and reproduced at a more rapid rate, even at the expense of having shorter adult longevity and producing fewer total egg pods. Experimental manipulations were crucial in understanding the fitness consequences of intrapopulation variation in the timing of specific life-cycle events for these species.
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Beginning with the papers of Lande and Arnold (1983) and Arnold and Wade (1984a, 1984b), there has been an explosion of interest in using statistical methods to measure natural selection in the field. Recent issues of Evolution contain numerous papers using these approaches (e.g., Campbell, 1989; Schemske and Horvitz, 1989; Rauscher and Simms, 1989; Scheiner, 1989; Jayne and Bennett, 1990; Smith, 1990; Weis and Gorman, 1990), and papers debate the relative merits of various statistical techniques (Arnold, 1986; Koenig and Albano, 1987; Downhower et al., 1987; Hubbell and Johnson, 1987; Mitchell-Olds and Shaw, 1987; Schluter, 1988; Crespi and Bookstein, 1989; Wade and Kalisz, 1989). Although these statistical approaches are powerful tools for measuring selection, they have limitations which must be kept in mind. Because they deal with correlations of relative fitness with phenotypic traits, it is often difficult to determine what factor is actually causing the changes in phenotypic distributions (Wade and Kalisz, 1990). A corollary of this is that the measured selection gradient may actually be due to selection operating on other correlated phenotypic traits, which may not have been measured in the analysis. Second, the range of phenotypic variation may be insufficient to determine the shape of the fitness function (Schluter, 1988). Experimental manipulation of phenotypic distributions provides a complimentary approach to investigating selection that alleviates some of these limitations. Recent papers using experiments to measure selection in the field include Mallet and Barton (1989) and Sinervo (1990).

Selection on life cycle timing illustrates these points. The timing of specific life cycle events is an important source of adaptation to seasonal variation in resource abundance and in the favorability of the abiotic and biotic environment (Taylor, 1980a, 1980b; Roff, 1983; Tauber et al., 1986; Taylor and Karban, 1986) and can constrain life-history evolution (Giesler, 1976; Boyce, 1979; Hastings, 1984). Offspring survival and/or subsequent reproduction in numerous organisms varies with date of hatching or germination (e.g., Feeny, 1970; Baskin and Baskin, 1972; Dixon, 1976; Cook, 1980;
Mark and Prince, 1981; Lacey, 1982; Ohgushi, 1986; Kalisz, 1986). These studies have observed either stabilizing selection or selection for early hatching/germination. However, offspring quality can vary seasonally (e.g., Wellington, 1965; Pickford, 1976; Harvey, 1977; Cavers and Steel, 1984; Forrest, 1986). It is not clear, therefore, whether observed seasonal changes in offspring fitness are due to the timing of emergence or correlated seasonal changes in offspring quality. In addition, the shape of the fitness function will depend on the amount of available variation in hatching date. If stabilizing selection on hatching time has been strong (a likely situation), then there may be insufficient variation in hatching time to observe an effect on offspring fitness. Manipulation of hatching time can increase the range of variation available, and uncouple any seasonal correlations between hatching time and offspring quality. In this paper I use experimental manipulations of life cycle timing in two species of grasshoppers, *Arphia sulphurea* (Fabricius) and *Chortophaga viridifasciata* (DeGeer) (Orthoptera: Acrididae), to investigate how offspring fitness varies with hatching date, and whether females show any life history response to such seasonal changes in offspring fitness.

*A. sulphurea* and *C. viridifasciata* have interesting life cycles for the study of phenological adaptations to seasonal environments. Unlike the majority of Nearctic grasshoppers, which overwinter as eggs (Otte, 1981, 1984), *A. sulphurea* and *C. viridifasciata* pass the winter as late instar nymphs, frozen beneath leaf litter (Cantrall, 1943; Alexander, 1967). In egg-overwintering grasshoppers, eggs hatch during the spring, nymphs develop during the spring and summer and adults are active through late summer and fall. In contrast, for *A. sulphurea*, *C. viridifasciata* and other nymph-overwintering grasshoppers, warming spring temperatures thaw the overwintering nymphs, which then undergo one or two molts to become adults. Adults are present early in the season and reproduce from late spring into the summer. Eggs hatch the same summer they are laid and nymphs undergo three to four molts before the onset of winter.

**Materials and Methods**

*A. sulphurea* and *C. viridifasciata* used in this study were from populations located at the Edwin S. George Reserve, an enclosed natural area in southeastern Michigan. In order to follow the development and reproduction of known individuals, grasshoppers were collected from the field in spring, 1984, and they and their progeny were maintained in small field cages, exposed to ambient temperature, sunlight and photoperiod (Landa, 1989). The field cages were set up at the University of Michigan's Matthaei Botanical Gardens, in Ann Arbor, Michigan.

In addition to observing natural variation in oviposition, hatching, survival and reproduction, two experimental manipulations of life cycle timing were done. First, the hatching of some egg pods was delayed by refrigeration. This not only extended the natural range in variation for hatching, but also allowed separation of fitness effects due to date of hatching from potential effects resulting from the seasonal increases in offspring size displayed by these species (Landa, 1989). Second, a subset of overwintering nymphs was brought into a heated greenhouse in late winter, to assess survival. I examined adult life-history traits of these individuals to see how they were affected by the early initiation of spring growth. In addition, egg pods from these early adults were used to extend the analysis of the relationship between oviposition date and date of hatching, and to examine phenotypic plasticity for offspring size (Landa, 1989), but offspring from these pods were not monitored during the following year to determine fitness consequences of early hatching.

**Oviposition Phenologies and Hatching**

To determine the distribution of oviposition dates exhibited under natural conditions by *A. sulphurea* and *C. viridifasciata*, random samples of individuals were collected from field populations of these two species between May 12 to May 22, 1984, and maintained in an outdoor screenhouse. As females matured, they were paired with males in individual oviposition cages. Approximately every three days, each adult female was transferred to a new oviposition
cage and the sand of the old cage was sifted to check for the presence of egg pods. The midpoint of the time interval between cage changes was taken as the date of oviposition for any egg pods (usually only one or none) that were found in a cage. Analysis of variance was used to test for differences in oviposition phenologies between the two species.

Control, delayed, and early egg pods were followed to determine seasonal changes in the relationship between oviposition date and hatching phenology. Control and early egg pods were incubated in small window-screen enclosures under greenhouse conditions (Landa, 1989), immediately after their collection from the oviposition cages. Delayed egg pods had their development suspended by keeping them in a 4°C cold room for four weeks, prior to their incubation under greenhouse conditions. Oviposition dates for delayed egg pods were adjusted by adding 28 days to the recorded oviposition date. Egg pod cages were observed at least daily to check for hatching. Embryonic development time for a pod was calculated as the difference between hatching date and oviposition date. Mean temperature experienced between oviposition and hatching was calculated separately for each egg pod, using daily high/low temperature records.

Because the relationship between oviposition date and hatching date was curvilinear, I used the GLM procedure of SAS to fit second-order polynomial regressions to the data, and then used Type I sums of squares (SAS, 1985) to test whether egg pod treatment explained any additional variance in hatching date. As there were no differences in development time or hatching phenology between control egg pods from 1984 and 1985, these pods were lumped together in the analyses. To test whether the refrigeration treatment had any effects on embryonic development, I used analysis of covariance to compare the number of hatchlings and mean hatching dry weight between delayed and control egg pods from 1984, with oviposition date as the covariate.

**Fall Growth and Overwinter Survival**

The mean weight of nymphs from each hatched pod was recorded every four to five days, until early November. At that time nymphs were placed into overwintering cages, which provided ground cover for the quiescent nymphs and which were exposed to ambient temperatures and snowfall (Landa, 1989). Surviving nymphs were recovered the following spring, weighed and maintained in field cages until they molted to adults. Thirty randomly selected overwintering cages were brought into a greenhouse in mid-February, 1985, and surviving nymphs recovered and weighed. These nymphs were maintained in the greenhouse until they molted, at which time they were placed outside in oviposition cages.

Nymphal weight at the time of placement into the overwintering cages was plotted against date of hatching for control and delayed nymphs of each species. Analysis of covariance, with hatching date as covariate, was used to test whether egg pod treatment had any effect on prewinter weight, and whether individuals of the two species differed in prewinter weight, for a given hatching date. Only control and delayed nymphs that overlapped in hatching dates were used in the analysis of treatment effects. As *A. sulphurea* and *C. viridifasciata* had similar ranges of hatching dates, all the data were used in the analysis of species differences.

To display seasonal changes in growth, cohorts of nymphs were combined into five groups for *A. sulphurea* and four groups for *C. viridifasciata*, based on date of hatching. Means and standard deviations for weight were calculated over 15 day intervals for each group and plotted against age to show each group’s growth trajectory. Because insect growth is highly temperature dependent, weight data were also analyzed as a function of the number of degree-days accumulated. A baseline of 15°C was chosen for degree-day calculations. This baseline is similar to values used in other grasshopper studies (Hilbert and Logan, 1983; Whitman, 1986; Dingle et al., 1990) and resulted in near linear relationships between weight and accumulated degree-days.

Overwinter survival was analyzed in terms of nymphal prewinter weight. Nymphs were divided into weight classes of 0–20, 20–40, 40–80, 80–120, and greater than 120 mg, and the number of surviving and dead
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nymphs tallied for each weight class. Chi-square analyses were done for each species to test the effect of prewinter size on survival. Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) statistics were used to test for differences in survival between control and delayed nymphs and for differences between species, after adjusting for the effects of size on survival (SAS, 1985). CMH statistics were also used to compare survival of nymphs at midwinter with survival through to spring. Postwinter weight was regressed against prewinter weight to examine changes in body size of surviving nymphs during the winter.

**Adult Life History Traits**

During spring, 1985, field cages were checked daily for newly molted adults. Adult molting date was recorded for all individuals and pronotum length, which is highly correlated with weight (Dean, 1982), was measured as an index of adult body size. Adults were set up in oviposition cages and data were collected for each female on the date of oviposition of all egg pods produced, total number of egg pods produced and date of death. Two measures of female reproductive activity were analyzed: the duration of the teneral period between adult molting and the production of the first egg pod, and the mean number of days between successive egg pods. Nymphal hatching rate from the 1985 pods was highly variable due to a fungal infection in the egg pod cages, and so data on total number of offspring were not analyzed. Adult longevity was calculated as the difference between date of death and molting date.

I examined the effects of hatching date and spring treatment (early initiation of growth versus controls) on the timing of adult maturation and adult body size. Female reproduction and survival were analyzed with respect to both spring treatment and molting date, to determine whether early and late females differed in their life history characteristics. For simplicity, data on female reproduction and survival are presented as comparisons between early and control groups, but similar trends were seen within groups as a function of molting date. So few of the individuals for which adult life history traits were measured came from delayed hatching treatment, and delayed nymphs differed so little from controls in their juvenile growth and survival, that this factor was not included in any of the analyses of adult life history traits.

**RESULTS**

**Oviposition Phenologies and Hatching**

Although oviposition phenologies were broadly similar for the two species (Fig. 1), *C. viridifasciata* females reproduced significantly earlier than *A. sulphurea* females (ANOVA, $F_{1,408} = 6.49$, $P = 0.011$). Oviposition began in late May and rose to peak levels during the first half of June. The distribution of oviposition dates was positively skewed for both species, reflecting early peaks of oviposition. Oviposition by *C. viridifasciata* peaked 10 days earlier than for *A. sulphurea*, and terminated 15 days earlier. Ranges of oviposition dates under...
screenhouse conditions correspond with field phenologies for adults of these species (Cantrall, 1943; Gangwere et al., 1976).

Hatching date was highly correlated with oviposition date for both species (Fig. 2), while egg pod treatment had little or no effect. Oviposition date explained 96.2% of the variance in hatching date for *A. sulphurea* \((F_{2,163} = 2,176.7, P = 0.0001)\) and 96.6% for *C. viridifasciata* \((F_{2,151} = 2,153.3, P = 0.0001)\). Treatment only explained 0.2% of the hatching date variance for *A. sulphurea* \((F_{2,163} = 3.94, P = 0.02)\) and none of the residual variance for *C. viridifasciata*. *C. viridifasciata* egg pods hatched earlier, for a given oviposition date, than those for *A. sulphurea* \((F_{1,320} = 106.26, P = 0.0001)\).

The nonlinear relationship between oviposition and hatching date resulted from seasonal changes in embryonic development time. Pods laid in June and July took 41.5 ± 3.4 days to develop for *A. sulphurea* and 37.7 ± 3.6 days for *C. viridifasciata*. Both earlier and later pods had longer embryonic development times. The longer development times seen for embryos of early and late pods, compared with midseason pods, were associated with cooler average temperatures during development. Development time increased 3.9 days per degree Celcius temperature decrease for *A. sulphurea* \((R^2 = 63.4\%, P = 0.0001)\) and 3.5 days for *C. viridifasciata* \((R^2 = 55.0\%, P = 0.0001)\). Most natural oviposition coincided with this period of minimum development time (Figs. 1 and 2).

The number of nymphs per pod declined seasonally for both species (Fig. 3). Delayed pods produced fewer nymphs than control pods, but there was no difference in hatching dry weight between delayed and control pods (Table 1). *A. sulphurea* and *C. viridifasciata* produced similar numbers of nymphs per pod, but *C. viridifasciata* hatching numbers were, on average, 36% smaller.

**Fall Growth and Overwinter Survival**

The size attained by nymphs before the onset of winter declined precipitously with
date of hatching (Fig. 4). *A. sulphurea* nymphs which hatched in early July weighed 100–150 mg by November, while those hatching in September or later weighed less than 20 mg. *C. viridifasciata* nymphs showed a similar response, although they grew to a smaller prewinter weight than *A. sulphurea* nymphs, for a given hatching date ($F_{1,160} = 113.18, P = 0.0001$). Control and delayed *A. sulphurea* nymphs did not differ in size, beyond differences attributable to hatching date ($F_{1,24} = 0.34, P = 0.56$). Delayed *C. viridifasciata* nymphs, however, were somewhat smaller than control nymphs of the same hatching date ($F_{1,14} = 5.24, P = 0.038$).

The smaller prewinter size of late hatching nymphs resulted from a combination of two factors. Late nymphs, by definition, had less time to grow before the onset of winter, and they had lower growth rates (Fig. 5a). The declines in growth rates of late hatching nymphs were associated with lower temperatures later in the fall growing season. For both species, weight was positively correlated with accumulated degree-days (Fig. 5b). *A. sulphurea* nymphs increased weight with accumulated degree-days more quickly than did *C. viridifasciata* nymphs, which accounts for the smaller prewinter weights as a function of hatching date for *C. viridifasciata* nymphs (Fig. 4). Early and late season cohorts of *A. sulphurea* nymphs showed no significant differences in their growth responses to temperature. For *C. viridifasciata*, however, early nymphs grew significantly more slowly, on a temperature-adjusted basis, than did late nymphs. As a consequence of the seasonal changes in temperature response in *C. viridifasciata* and the lack of such seasonal changes in *A. sulphurea*, age-based growth trajectories differed less among early and late cohorts for *C. viridifasciata* than for *A. sulphurea* (Fig. 5a) and the greatest divergence in prewinter body sizes between the two species occurred for early hatching nymphs (Fig. 4).

Larger nymphs had a greater probability of surviving the winter than smaller nymphs (Fig. 6). For *A. sulphurea*, no nymphs weighing less than 40 mg survived the winter while those larger than 40 mg had a 25% survival ($x^2 = 11.98, df = 4, P = 0.0001$). For *C. viridifasciata*, survival of nymphs less than 40 mg was again near zero, while larger nymphs had a 40% survival ($x^2 = 18.72, df = 3, P = 0.0001$). Delayed and control nymphs did not differ in their probability of survival (*A. sulphurea*: $P = 0.32$;
C. viridifasciata: \( P = 0.78 \). C. viridifasciata survival at a given size was significantly greater than for A. sulphurea (CMH statistic = 13.55, \( \text{df} = 1, P = 0.0001 \)).

Much of the overwinter mortality occurred late in the winter. A significantly higher proportion of nymphs were alive when brought into the greenhouse in February, compared with those left in field cages until spring (A. sulphurea: CMH statistic = 68.73, \( P = 0.0001 \); C. viridifasciata: CMH statistic = 4.63, \( P = 0.031 \)). Midwinter A. sulphurea nymphs had a 68% survival, while C. viridifasciata nymphs had an 89% survival.

Body sizes of surviving nymphs decreased only slightly over winter (Fig. 7). Regressions of postwinter weight on prewinter weight had slopes not significantly different from 1 and intercepts not significantly different from 0. A. sulphurea nymphs lost 6 ± 18% of their weight over winter, while C. viridifasciata nymphs lost 9 ± 16%. Delayed and control nymphs did not differ in their weight change over winter (A. sulphurea: \( F_{1,29} = 0.06, P = 0.81 \); C. viridifasciata: \( F_{1,23} = 0.32; P = 0.58 \)).

**Adult Life History Traits**

Control female nymphs which hatched later during the 1984 season became adults significantly later in 1985 than earlier-hatching females (Fig. 8). Each day of increase in hatching date resulted in a delay in the timing of adult molting of 0.64 days for A. sulphurea females (\( F_{1,15} = 14.36, P = 0.0018 \)) and 0.34 days for C. viridifasciata females (\( F_{1,14} = 4.67, P = 0.048 \)). For males of both species, adult molting date was not significantly correlated with hatching date, although late-hatching A. sulphurea males had a slight tendency to molt later than early hatching A. sulphurea males (\( F_{1,9} = 3.14, P \))
maturation date (Fig. 10). Late maturing *A. sulphurea* females began reproducing sooner after becoming adult (*F*<sub>2,22</sub> = 4.91, *P* = 0.017) and produced egg pods at a more rapid rate (*F*<sub>2,22</sub> = 3.66, *P* = 0.042), compared to early maturing females. Early *A. sulphurea* females had a longer adult longevity (*F*<sub>2,22</sub> = 30.95, *P* = 0.0001), however, and as a consequence, produced more egg pods over their lifespan (*F*<sub>2,22</sub> = 7.87, *P* = 0.0026). *C. viridifasciata* females showed the same patterns for interpod interval (*F*<sub>2,15</sub> = 6.11, *P* = 0.011), adult longevity (*F*<sub>2,15</sub> = 29.46, *P* = 0.0001) and total number of egg pods (*F*<sub>2,15</sub> = 8.00, *P* = 0.0043). The onset of reproduction after adult molting did not differ between early and late maturing *C. viridifasciata* females (*F*<sub>2,15</sub> = 0.73, *P* = 0.50).

**DISCUSSION**

**Seasonal Declines in Offspring Fitness**

Size-dependent overwinter mortality is an important factor resulting in seasonal declines in offspring fitness for *A. sulphurea*...
Fig. 8. Adult molting date as a function of hatching date and spring growing treatment. Open squares represent control individuals while solid diamonds give data for individuals brought into the greenhouse in midwinter. Regression lines are given for control individuals: solid lines represent significant regressions while dashed lines indicate nonsignificant regressions.

Fig. 9. The effect of hatching date and spring growing conditions on adult body size. Pronotum length was measured as an index of body size. Symbols are as in Figure 8.
and _C. viridifasciata_. Late hatching nymphs lack time and adequate growing conditions to reach body sizes necessary to overwinter successfully. Similar size-dependent overwinter survival has been seen in other insects (e.g., Ohgushi, 1986). The mechanism of size-dependent mortality in _A. sulphurea_ and _C. viridifasciata_ remains to be studied. Small size, per se, is no barrier to overwinter survival, as first instar juveniles are common overwintering stages in many groups of insects (e.g., Scott and Epstein, 1987; Danks, 1987). Comparing _A. sulphurea_ and _C. viridifasciata_ nymphs brought into the greenhouse in February to those maintained outside until spring shows that weight loss had already occurred by midwinter (Fig. 7), but most mortality occurred later. This argues against overwinter mortality being a simple function of loss of weight or fat reserves. A possible reason for the late winter mortality could involve repeated freezing and thawing of the nymphs during the transition from winter to spring.

Due to the limited range of hatching dates under normal conditions, most control nymphs grew to a prewinter body size where size-dependent effects on overwinter mortality were less apparent (Fig. 6). Because of this, the delayed hatching treatment was critical in providing evidence for the importance of size-dependent overwinter mortality in the life cycles of _A. sulphurea_ and _C. viridifasciata_. It is important, therefore, that the patterns of survival among delayed nymphs not be an artifact of the experimental treatment. Although the refrigeration treatment used to delay hatching resulted in somewhat fewer nymphs hatching per pod, delayed nymphs were similar to controls with respect to hatching dry weight, hatching phenology (once delay duration was accounted for), fall growth as a function of hatching date and overwinter survival. The only difference in the growth and survival of control and delayed nymphs was the smaller prewinter weight of delayed _C. viridifasciata_ nymphs as a function of hatching date, which can be explained in terms of seasonal increases in offspring size produced by _C. viridifasciata_ females (Landa, 1989). Because delayed nymphs of a given hatching date were produced earlier in the season than controls hatching at the same time, they would have had a smaller initial weight and would be expected to grow more slowly.

Seasonal declines in offspring fitness are common in a number of groups, but the underlying mechanisms are often different. For _A. sulphurea_ and _C. viridifasciata_, the declines are primarily due to abiotic effects on juvenile survival, with secondary effects on the timing and amount of adult repro-
duction. For *Melanoplus sanguinipes*, a grasshopper which overwinters as eggs, juvenile survival is little affected by hatching date, but late hatching nymphs produce late adults which have less time to reproduce before killing frosts end the breeding season in the fall (Pickford, 1960). Juvenile growth and survival decline with hatching date in the sycamore aphid, *Drepanosiphum platanoides*, due to seasonal declines in food quality (Dixon, 1976). Finally, offspring fitness declines with emergence date for many plants, as early emerging individuals monopolize resources and suppress later emerging individuals (e.g., Cook, 1980). Knowledge of these seasonal changes in individual fitness is necessary for understanding the selective pressures shaping the phenologies of species in seasonal environments.

*Selection for Early Reproduction*

Due to the lack of embryonic diapause in *A. sulphurea* and *C. viridifasciata*, date of hatching has a strong positive correlation with oviposition date. Because of this relationship, and the seasonal declines in offspring fitness, eggs produced late in the breeding season contribute less to parental fitness. This should select for early reproduction by females of these two species, in order to promote early hatching and greater offspring survival. Due the curvilinear relationship between oviposition date and hatching date, however, the advantage gained by moving reproduction to earlier dates varies seasonally. Late in the season, reproducing one day earlier results in hatching occurring more than one day earlier, because of the decrease in embryonic development time at midseason compared to late season. Embryonic development times are longer early in the season compared to midseason, however, lessening the hatching date advantage to very early reproduction. It is suggestive that the bulk of oviposition under natural conditions occurs during the period of minimum development time.

The strength of selection for early reproduction will also vary from year to year. The fall temperature regime is critical in determining the amount of prewinter growth attained by nymphs, and therefore the probability of overwinter survival, following any given date of hatching. Between year variations in fall temperatures raise the possibility that late nymphs, which have little or no chance of survival during normal years, might be able to survive and reproduce in years with warm falls. Conversely, years with early winters would decrease more abruptly the relative fitness of late nymphs. Using historical weather records for the years 1978 through 1987, along with the relationships of embryonic development and nymphal growth to temperature, I calculated the last oviposition dates that would allow nymphs to attain prewinter weights of 40 mg (below which there is little chance of survival) or 90 mg (above which survival varies little with body size). These oviposition dates varied over a range of 3 to 4 weeks among years in both species (Fig. 11). There was no temporal correlation between successive years for predicted last dates of oviposition to reach either body size (*P* > 0.05 for both species, Durbin-Watson test). This between-year unpredictability of the end of the breeding season may lead to genetic variation or phenotypic plasticity in repro-

**FIG. 11.** Predicted last dates of oviposition for nymphs to reach critical body sizes before winter. These dates are based on historical weather data and the observed responses of embryonic development and nymphal growth to temperature. Solid bars give the ranges of dates which would result in nymphs reaching 90 mg or more before winter, while the cross-hatched bars give the cut-off dates for 40 mg nymphs.
ducive characters. *C. viridifasciata* was slightly more variable in predicted cutoff dates and, because of slower growth as a function of degree-days, *C. viridifasciata* cutoff dates were uniformly earlier than for *A. sulphurea*. The stronger selection against late hatching in *C. viridifasciata* may explain the earlier oviposition phenology (Fig. 1) and the more rapid embryonic development (Fig. 2) in this species compared to *A. sulphurea*.

**Adult Life History Traits**

The relationships between molting date and hatching date for males and females of both species show that late hatching individuals tend to catch up to early hatchers; the slopes of these regressions are all less than 1. Male molting date is less delayed by later hatching than female molting date, and *C. viridifasciata* individuals catch up better than *A. sulphurea* individuals. These relationships can be understood in terms of differential selection on the timing of maturation among these groups. Because of the stronger selection for early reproduction in *C. viridifasciata*, late maturing *C. viridifasciata* females would be at a greater disadvantage compared to early *C. viridifasciata* females, than would late *A. sulphurea* females compared to early *A. sulphurea* females, and should be under stronger selection not to delay maturation. In both species, males would be under stronger selection not to delay maturation than females, because male fitness is a function of access to females and late maturing males would find all the females already mated.

Interestingly, the shorter development times of late hatching individuals do not come at the expense of smaller body sizes. This may be because size-dependent overwinter mortality allows only the largest of the late hatching nymphs to survive the winter, or because late hatching individuals have warmer spring growing conditions during the final instar, when the bulk of insect growth occurs. Size-dependent maturation has been seen in some other insects [e.g., Nijhout, 1975; Blakely and Goodner, 1978; Lounibos, 1979; but not in the grasshopper *Locusta migratoria* (Clarke and Langley, 1962)], and has been related to highly variable juvenile growth rates and the need for a critical level of resources for adult dispersal and survival (Blakely, 1981). These factors do not seem applicable to *A. sulphurea* and *C. viridifasciata* and, given the strong selection for early reproduction, it is unclear why late hatching individuals do not show more of a tradeoff between adult body size and maturation time (Policansky, 1983; Stearns and Crandall, 1984).

For both species, late molting females reproduce at a more rapid rate and live for a shorter time after molting. The effects of molting date on female reproductive rate and adult longevity are consistent with selection for early reproduction, in a situation where there is a cost to reproduction (Hirschfield and Tinkle, 1975; Calow, 1979; Reznick, 1985). Selection for early reproduction, due to the seasonal declines in offspring fitness, should be more intense for females that mature late in the season, compared with early maturing females. If there is a physiological cost of reproduction, then a more rapid reproductive rate among late females could directly decrease their longevity. Rapid reproductive rates among late females would still be favored, even though the associated shorter longevity leads to lower total fecundity, because late season egg pods contribute little or nothing to parental fitness. Early females could maximize total fecundity by reproducing at a more moderate rate and living longer, producing more total offspring which would still have sufficient time to grow large enough to overwinter.

There are alternative potential explanations, in addition to the seasonal declines in offspring fitness, that may explain the changes in female reproductive rate and longevity with molting date. If mortality rates due to extrinsic factors, such as predation or heat and water stress, increase during the summer, then late females would have a lower life expectancy after molting and may be expected to reproduce at a more rapid rate. In addition, the higher temperatures later in the season could directly increase reproductive rate and decrease life span, as has been reported for other species of grasshoppers (Uvarov, 1966, 1977). It is uncertain, therefore, whether the seasonal changes in reproduction and longevity represent adaptive phenotypic plasticity for al-
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location to reproduction and survival, unavoidable consequences of changing environmental conditions or both.

CONCLUSIONS

This study highlights the role of seasonal changes in abiotic conditions for the evolution of phenology in these nymph-overwintering grasshoppers and, in particular, the effects of size-dependent overwinter mortality. Without experimental manipulation, the fitness consequences of hatching date variation would have been less obvious. Although other factors, such as seasonal partitioning among competitors (Shapiro, 1975; Onsager, 1986) or avoidance of natural enemies, may potentially affect life cycle timing in these two species, the observed patterns of phenology, as well as seasonal variation in adult female life-history traits, are consistent with responses to seasonal changes in offspring growth and survival imposed by abiotic conditions. Seasonal changes in growth, survival and reproduction of individuals, in turn, have population level consequences. Although these species lack the synchronizing effect of embryonic diapause, size-dependent overwinter mortality, maturation at younger ages by late-hatching individuals and the shorter longevity of late-maturing adults all act to synchronize the populations of *A. sulphurea* and *C. viridifasciata*.
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